

dismiss the cross-claims asserted by defendants Michael Sedor and Penn State Abstract (Docs. 122, 123) on the ground that, “[b]ecause plaintiffs’ claims directly against [defendants Coastal Capital Corporation and Option One Mortgage Corporation] are legally insufficient, the derivative cross-claims [asserted by defendants Michael Sedor and Penn State Abstract] also are, by extension, legally insufficient, and must be dismissed,” and it appearing that the court recently denied motions of defendants Coastal Capital Corporation and Option One Mortgage Corporation to dismiss plaintiffs’ complaint on grounds of legal sufficiency (Doc. 255; see also Doc. 165), it is hereby ORDERED that the motions of defendants Coastal Capital Corporation and Option One Mortgage Corporation to dismiss the cross-claims asserted by defendants Michael Sedor and Penn State Abstract (Docs. 122, 123) are DENIED.

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge